

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/841,693	HWANG, YOUNG Y.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Matthew O Savage. (3) _____.

(2) Maxwell J. Petersen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

21, 23, 24, 26, and 28-40

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend lines 2, 5, 6, and 8 of claim 21 to clarify the claim. Agreed to amend line 11 of claim 21 to correspond with lines 13-15 of page 8 of the specification. Agreed to amend claims 23 and 24 in order to correspond to the process flow diagram shown in FIG. 2. Agreed to change "class" to --group-- in claim 26 so as to follow a proper markush claim format. Agreed to amend claim 28 to correspond to lines 11-25 of page 10 of the specification. Agreed to cancel claims 29-40 without prejudice for subsequent filing as a continuing application..